

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 2, 1999 LB 474

it makes good financial sense to have another agency inspect for food sanitation, if they are already at an institution making inspections, but there also needs to be adequate provisions that the other agency is authorized to do inspections equivalent to what the department would do. Still there was some confusion as to what the deletion of language, including certain education facilities, health care facilities and nursing homes, and governmental organizations would accomplish. So we decided to put those back in the bill. We are asking you to strike the new language and reinstate the old language, and that is the essence of the committee amendments.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Debate on the adoption of the committee amendments? Seeing none, Senator Dierks, your...he waives closing. The question before the body is the adoption of the committee amendments to LB 474. All those in favor vote aye; all those opposed vote nay. Record.

CLERK: 32 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of committee amendments.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Committee amendments are adopted. We now move to debate on advancement of the bill. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Dierks, again, just a couple of questions, if I may.

SENATOR DIERKS: Sure.

SENATOR BEUTLER: And I'm on the very last page, 14, of the bill. It indicates that a regulatory agency may increase the interval between inspections beyond six months. I take it there is a rule now there has to be an inspection every six months?

SENATOR DIERKS: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: If the food establishment is assigned a less frequent inspection frequency, based on a written risk-based inspection schedule that is being uniformly applied throughout the jurisdiction, I'm interested in that concept and I'm interested in the flexibility that that kind of language provides to a regulatory agency. But, obviously, with that