

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 2, 1999 LB 268

family, isn't that violating his or her religion, because they're in that condition because of their religion.

SENATOR BOHLKE: And so is the question, if you are a Christian Scientist, would you be sent home?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Time. Senator Bohlke.

SENATOR BOHLKE: Yes, Mr. Speaker and members. I was actually going to use this time to respond to one question Senator Wehrbein had. And checking, as an example, out of 30,000 students in the Lincoln Public Schools, 38 have that exemption. I was just using that time to clarify. And that, you know, that percentage would probably be typical. And to also point out on this, this amendment is not doing anything new that we haven't done in the past, or that people are not doing at this time. It was just to clarify the technicality. If it's a huge issue, you know, we don't have to do this amendment. People will go right on doing what they're doing, and we can go right to the bill. It was just an attempt to clarify the statutes that people assumed they were operating under at this point.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, and Senator Bohlke, let me say this, I understand why you're doing what you're doing. That's not even a part of my discussion. I had made it clear that when I become aware of provisions in existing law that ought not be there, I'm going to try to remove those things from the law. Contrary to what some people think, I don't just sit down and pick up a statute book and read it for enjoyment and find all these things. So there are many crazy things in the statute that ought not be there. But when a bill is brought before us and the bill or the discussion of that bill will call my attention to one of these issues, then I'm going to address it. And Senator Bohlke's staff was kind enough to show me in the statute where the Christian Science amendment is found. Others who have been here for a time know that I've defeated efforts to put this kind of an amendment in the statute, notably where you're talking about