

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 2, 1999 LB 90

probable identification? Or can they ignore all relevant material that may be in the glove compartment or otherwise scattered in the car and simply follow the letter of the law, which doesn't seem anywhere to require them to follow-up on reasonably probable hints as to ownership? And I'd invite you, if I have any time left, to respond to that.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Time. Senator Chambers, your light is next.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President. Members of the Legislature, I would like to ask Senator Bromm a question.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Senator Bromm, would you respond, please?

SENATOR BROMM: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Bromm, earlier I had asked you to look this matter of inserting some additional language relative to an owners intent to reclaim one of these vehicles that had been taken for investigative purposes and had been in possession of this law enforcement agency for more than 30 days. I don't really know what an investigative purpose is, but that's why I would like you to consider, between now and Select File, the insertion of some language that would preserve an owners right, who may not have the money right at that moment to pay the full amount necessary, to get their car out of the possession of this law enforcement agency. But what I would rather have us do, and this is what I really want you to consider, prohibit the charging of any fee to a person whose car is being held for investigative purposes. The reason I say that is the following, while they are holding this car, I cannot redeem it or reclaim it. They can hold it as long as they want to. So since I have no opportunity or ability to get that car out of their possession, I, as the owner, should not have to pay any fee to recover it. So would you consider that between now and Select File, Senator Bromm?

SENATOR BROMM: Senator Chambers, I will. And could I ask you a question for clarification?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.