

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 1, 1999 LB 243

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: That's right.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: ...tuition.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: That's right.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: You wouldn't have many additional members with the few little thousand dollars here that would be added...

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Well, I think you'd basically...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: ...to that.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: ...gain quite a few members if you went to hundred percent of anybody that wanted to join, and that's why you get the one and a half million, maybe two million dollar A bill.

SENATOR CROSBY: Time.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Schellpeper and Schimek and Janssen. Mr. Clerk, I understand you have an amendment.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Thank you, Madam President. Senator Chris Peterson would move to amend. (See FA7 as found on page 406 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Chris Peterson, to open on your amendment.

SENATOR C. PETERSON: Thank you, Madam Chairman, members of the body. The issue that I brought up is different somewhat than looking at the amount of dollars put in and...and...or the idea of the support for the guard. The issue that I'm bringing up would address the issue of inclusiveness, in that certain colleges would not be prevented, because of terminology in the bill. The question came up resulting in some questions by Senator Schimek about regionally versus nationally accredited colleges and universities. Currently, the way the bill is