

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 1, 1999 LB 243

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, Mr...Madam Speaker, Madam President, members of the body. I know there is some uncertainty here, and I know there is a little bit of confusion as to what the actual amount is. There is \$600,000 in this program right now. Not all of that is being spent, and I do think one of the concerns is as to whether increasing the tuition reimbursement rate from 50 to 100 percent will really entice enough more members in. I understand that uncertainty. I can't speak to that either. One of the reasons I voted to advance this bill is because I think we do have a strength problem with the Nebraska National Guard. That's my philosophy. I think we should do something about it. I am not certain how much we have to put in to do it. I think Senator Janssen may have some figures I've ask for about recruitment and what surrounding states have had in terms of success with increasing the tuition rate. I think we could put a limit on this. Nine hundred thousand more would make a million and a half in the budget. I don't think it probably needs to be that much, but it is an unknown. I grant that. I would hope to have a little firmer understanding of it by Select File, and certainly come May, when we do the final budget, I think that we would have a better idea if we need to even put it in at all or how much. One of the reasons I voted for Sen...against Senator Beutler's bill is because I'm not sure going from 50 to 70 percent is enough of an enticement, if you believe the National Guard ought to increase or maintain its strength, which I happen to believe it should. It is true, as Senator Chambers said, it's heavily on federal money, but if we decrease Nebraska's guard strength we increase the federal interest in the Nebraska National Guard, and our slots go down, our allotted slots, and we just keep working ourselves down in terms of strength. I happen to think, personally, that we ought to maintain Nebraska guard strength, if not increase it. Whatever that takes to do, I think we ought to do, but we ought to have an understanding of what it costs, and come May you're going to have to decide if that's important to you versus dozens of other things that are going to be asked to be put in the budget. ETV, by the way, is going to be a big one. You're going to have some difficult decisions come May, in addition to many other things in the school aid and so forth. I am voting for this this time because I think it ought to go forward, at least, to be looked at, probably refined. I doubt if we need the full 900,000. That's the high end of the estimate. We may