

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 1, 1999 LB 243

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: ...that there are safeguards, and I recall that there was in that. Some had asked me about that and I wanted to have it on the record. I would agree with Senator Landis, if there is some way between now and Select File or even later to work out some kind of connection so that this was not permanent forever if the National Guard strength rose. Now I think it probably could be subject to a lots of discussion as to why National Guard enrollment is down. It may not be all bearing on the tuition rate and the reimbursement. There probably are other factors going on in life today that has an impact on this and, perhaps, that ought to be more thoroughly fleshed out. I would guess that enrollment's down partly because people are not so military inclined. We take...we're taking all the things that military historically has done for granted. Senator Janssen, I'd like to ask another question, too. I noticed the Army Reserve testified opposed to this. Is that, what was the reason for the reserves opposing?

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Janssen.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Yes, Senator Wehrbein. It is because they aren't in on this.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: (Laughter) Okay.

SENATOR JANSSEN: They're not getting a piece of the pie. There are some other...there are some other incentives that the Army Reserve gets that the National Guard does not get.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Federal, which would be federal.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Yes. You know, who knows, if their strength drops off and this works out fine, come back in a couple of years, economy is good, maybe you could offer that to them, too, you know, but I did not want to include them all in this because it is a little bit different. One is a little different than the other, and the Reserve cannot be called up...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR JANSSEN: ...by the Governor. So the guard is a little