

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 29, 1999 LR 10

to four years and whether their terms would be staggered and, essentially, you would have an election every two years. Now, in thinking about this issue, I had an intern this summer do some research for me and you have some of that research before you where it talks about the top 50 House races in terms of actual receipts, contribution receipts, in 1995 and 1996. You'll see that the top or the first person on the list had net receipts of \$6,252,069, and it goes down from there to the fiftieth person on the list, \$1,320,456. We're talking about huge amounts of money here and we're talking about every two years. I think that a person who's running for the House of Representatives is continuously campaigning. There is not the time that that person needs for reflection on the issues and work on the issues. In addition, our...our country has changed so much. When the founding fathers discussed term of office, there...they were all over the board. They discussed one-year terms, three-year terms, seven-year terms, and we went back to the...the Federalists' papers and reviewed those and discovered that basically the two-year term became a compromise between a one-year term and a three-year term. But, as I said, things have changed so much. We now have telephones, we have trains, we have cars, we have airplanes, we have fax machines, we have all manner of ability to communicate with constituents in a very quick fashion, in a very timely manner. The representatives have time to go back to their districts frequently. I really don't think that it's a matter of staying in touch with their district, but the reason that I propose the terms be staggered is so that we could meet the basic reason for the two-year terms in the first place, and that is that the people have a chance to vote on the policies that have been passed in the House of Representatives. You will find in your packet that I did contact the members of the delegation, of the congressional delegation, both Senate and House members. I was really more concerned about what House members might think than Senate members. I did hear back, after a letter and some phone calls, I did hear back from Congressman Bereuter's office and Congressman Barrett's office, and if you've had a chance to read those letters you'll see that, basically, they are in favor of such a concept. It is not a new concept. There have been resolutions in the House of Representatives from time to time. Both Bereuter and Barrett have signed on to them. The thing of it is, when those resolutions have been before Congress in