

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 27, 1999 LB 59

Isn't that...isn't that correct?

SENATOR JANSSEN: I recall...I recall some discussion about that.

SENATOR BEUTLER: And I think that a large part of...a large percentage of the people that you're talking about that are being taxed actually wanted this and preferred this to a bottle bill, and that's the reason, that's the process by which we got...

SENATOR JANSSEN: Um-hum.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...involved in this. You're reducing the tax from \$175 to \$100. Do you know what that represents in terms of overall financial decrease?

SENATOR JANSSEN: No, I don't.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Thank you. I think it would be wise on the part of the Legislature not to adopt this amendment at this particular point in time because I don't think, one, that you would want to get rid of the litter reduction efforts that result from this money, which, in turn, going back, were the result...the tax itself being a result of a compromise reached between business and...and other interest in the Legislature who wanted at that point in time a bottle bill. And the grocers and others came in and said, we don't want a bottle bill, but we are willing to do this litter tax that provides money to go pick up cans and bottles by the highway and that sort of thing. Keep America Beautiful Program I think is one of the programs that's funded by this. So it would seem to me that this is a major sort of matter; that it has not had a public hearing; that it ought to be a separate bill and go through the legislative process, as do all other bills of major import; and that it's probably no more appropriate to put this on to this bill as it is for me to put on a water well fee onto an oil...oil bill. It's somewhat equivalent. So I...I really respect Senator Janssen's attitude and his looking at this in a tough sort of way, and maybe we need to do that, but I don't think that it's wise to do it from the point of view of a total lack of information as to its fiscal effects, without knowledge of what