

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

January 27, 1999 LB 298

by the industry and desire of the committees. Now there are times when committees are given assignments. The Revenue Committee's been given an assignment in the forecasting phenomenon, but it's rare. It's rare. So, the bill creates a couple of legal duties: one on us, one on other agencies. And what happens if there's a failure in that legal duty? What if we don't do it? We've got a law but...and we have a legal duty, but there is, in fact, no enforcement mechanism. Why? Because we don't anticipate enforcement problems. We anticipate this being along the lines of good policy, committees doing good things, studying and reporting to the Legislature. If this was to be a bill, if it had to be a bill rather than a policy by our Executive Board, which is where I first think it should be, it should be a duty of the Legislature generally and not a specific committee, in my estimation. It should fall to the Executive Board to assign wherever the appropriate locus of expertise and authority should be, rather than to single out a particular committee to do the work. If we actually are going to do that and we are going to create this legal duty, we probably ought to have a responsibility for us if we fail to do it and for other people if they fail to do their legal duties that we're creating. My preference is that Senator Schimek, who is a diligent and terrific Chairman, simply announce to the Legislature she intends to do this work; that the Executive Board back her up all the way; that if we have recalcitrant boards and commissions that we have a letter from the Executive Board say, we want you over there, and that does carry some authority and if it's not, by the way, the Legislature has subpoena power if we have to. But we...I don't think we want to create legislatively in statute the workload of the various committees of the Legislature. Now I'd, frankly, be willing to sit here and not say anything 'cause I don't want to get in the debate and just vote red, which is what I'm going to do, but I don't want to be misunderstood. I don't want it to mean...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...as a personal attack. I don't want it to mean as a failure of confidence. It's just that, as a matter of policy, I think, number one, this should be done in-house, by the Executive Board; number two, if it does have to be by law it should carry sanctions for legal duties that are failed to be