

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 26, 1999 LB 270

are referred to as risk-based corrective action provisions. Now that authorized DEQ to look at sites and say, yes, there may be some problem here, there may have been some contamination, but the risk to the environment or to...to the public is so minimal or nonexistent that we are going to give this site basically a no action letter and allow nature, over a period of time, to clean that site up, and use our money, which is limited, to work on those of the three or four thousand sites that are more of a threat and a danger to the environment and to the public and to our water in the state of Nebraska. Now, we were unable to get a lot of action on that through DEQ, but I am assured that they're going to move forward with it now, and that is one step in an insurance company evaluating the insurability of a site. What...what is the...what...how do you sort out contamination that might occur in the upgraded tanks versus contamination that occurred before the tanks were upgraded? We have to sort that out. We have to know for sure where DEQ stands on the requirement for contamination cleanup. Insurance companies want a site evaluation. They want to know what their risks are, and I understand that, and I hope we can clarify those risks, and I will work on that to whatever extent is necessary so that insurance coverage becomes...so that we understand what's available and at what cost, and then we can make an informed decision. This report that we got and the conclusions do not allow me, in good conscience, to continue with the deadline of June 30, '99, because I think we're going to have a heck of a problem on our hands if we do that. And so I appreciate Senator Beutler's comments and his interest, and we will continue to work on this matter, but I think for right now we need to extend this deadline by the two years suggested by the committee. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Senator Schrock, please.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, a little better explanation of the committee amendments, the reason we went from the five-year extension to the two-year extension is because we, as a committee, want to know if insurance is available, and to that extent, it is my intention to conduct an interim study to find out if insurance is available, or why it is not available. And it may be, come two