

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 13, 1999

which will amount to more money than your salary. Maybe for some of you it won't now since you got a salary increase. There are many things that I've done for this Legislature, burdens and responsibilities I've assumed because I'm concerned about the Legislature as an institution. When it is attacked by the other departments, I will defend it. When it is attacked and demeaned by outsiders, I will rise to the challenge and speak and explain what it is the Legislature is about, why we have done certain things, and I do that despite the fact that I don't get along very well with some of my colleagues most of the time. But a point is reached when we look beyond those personal controversies and consider the Legislature as an institution. It was suggested last session that if this rule change had not been adopted, the very Legislature, itself, might be destroyed to get one man. I have been enshrined in the rules several times, but the enshrinement is by way of very bad rules. I'm saying we should begin to get rid of that. There is another part of the equation that hasn't been mentioned yet. There is a practice around here called the consent calendar where ostensibly noncontroversial bills are put before us when the Speaker decides we reach that point in the session on a given day, and each bill is allowed 15 minutes. If the introducer is closing at the time the 15 minutes will elapse, that bill will be allowed to be put to a vote. If it goes beyond 15 minutes in terms of being discussed and the Speaker...the introducer is not closing when the 15 minutes elapse, the bill is taken off consent calendar and you move to the next one. Well, what I've said is that since you're going to do this to get at me, there will be no consent calendar anymore, and I am able to deliver on the things that I promise. Consent calendar became a thing of the past and I also, to use the expression that I used earlier, put a dead cat on the line when it came to the practice of "Christmas treeing" at the end of the session where certain bills and desires that senators had were not going to be considered unless they could be hung from a particular bill that was known as the Christmas tree, and I put a roadblock in the way of that. So if this rule stays, then that means we have decided to leave the game in exactly the posture it was in last session. I would like to ask my bearded colleague to the right a question if I may. Senator Landis, would you yield to a question, and I must say you look very striking, stunning, and much better with your facial growth. Senator Landis, were...