

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 13, 1999

discussion, at least recognize what the basis that we, on the Revenue and Appropriations Committee, are using for our figuring. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, colleagues. I rise to just address the committee amendment, since I was present when the committee had the hearing and discussed this proposal and decided to propose a slight amendment. I can just try to explain that I think the committee got the impression at the hearing that in practice this financial status projection would be discussed with each of the committees, and if it was discussed with each of the committees, there would be some implied approval by the committees. So the first...the first few words of this amendment simply call for the approval of a majority of each of the committees, just so there would be a little bit more formality to the approval. The last sentence is inserted because the question was raised, what if the projection is made during the very first part of the session and something occurs which, in the opinion of the Chairs of the Appropriation and Revenue Committee, that the projections might be significantly impacted and they might want to revise those projections. So just to clarify that that could happen, the last sentence says: Upon mutual agreement by the Chairs of Appropriations and Revenue, the projections may be reconsidered pursuant to this section. So that's just simply to facilitate a reconsideration of the projections if the need should arise. I will yield the rest of my time back to the Chair. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Brown.

SENATOR BROWN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I support the amendment that was proposed by the Rules Committee, that adds agreement of the committee to this provision, but I still have grave reservations about the rule, as a whole. When we put...begin putting out the green sheets, it's often referred to as the Bible, and we put a great deal of significance in what is included in that piece of paper. And I would welcome more information if it is really for the purpose of more discussion, but I really question what mechanism we have for discussion. And when we put something out in black and white, it becomes a