

presumption bill, we're not going to do this, this is entirely different than that, and that the reason that you can vote for this bill is because it's not a rebuttable presumption. I want you to turn to the title of this bill, on page 2. And I'm going to read from the title of this bill, "to provide a rebuttable presumption concerning the death or disability of a firefighter as a result of cancer". The bill has a flaw. The title is wrong. Now what is it? And how do you fix it? I don't know. I'm torn because I want to vote for the underlying contents of what Senator Wickersham described in LB 1076. I disagree, as I think everybody knows, about voting for Senator Will's amendment. I don't like that. But I'm forced into the consequence of I can't choose one over the other. I either have to vote against the entire bill or vote for the entire bill. That's the purpose of having a correct title, that's the purpose of not mixing up two different concepts in the same bill. And I think that's an infirmity. I think you have put in two different concepts into this bill; that's not permissible; one that I don't like being torn between having to vote for. I think the title is wrong and the bill is flawed and I also think there's an improper delegation. I don't know what to do with it and I don't know what the Chair will do when they announce that all procedures of law have been complied with because obviously the title is flawed.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Senator Maurstad.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Thank you, Madam President. Very briefly, I also spoke against this, the Will portion of this particular bill last Thursday, admittedly not vigorously...vigorously enough, late in the afternoon with those that were here. This obviously is kind of a queer turn of events in what's...what's occurred in that yesterday there was not the ability to look at the, what occurred last Thursday again. And as Senator Wickersham and I visited this morning, that's certainly not his fault or his doing. He voted against adjourning yesterday. I think he was very willing to see what, what the members wanted to do with this particular issue yesterday and, unfortunately, that did not occur. I, like Senator Kristensen, am also, and many others that visited with, torn between wanting to support the underlying bill that has a number of very valid and provisions that are needed, and needed at this time, and yet have some obvious difficulty with the Will amendment. The cost,