

personal feelings about an issue from what we feel our duty is. If I won all of the lotteries throughout the country and the world and could take some of that money and put it in a fund from which the benefits for these people would be drawn, that's what I would do, but I can't do that. I'm always referring to this play about Sir Thomas More, "A Man for All Seasons." When he lost the chancellorship because he wouldn't do what the king wanted him to do, he had to send his servants away and he called them to him and said, I'm no longer a great man. I no longer can have a great house so I cannot keep you on.

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So after he sent them all away he had one favorite servant named Matthew and he asked Matthew, Matthew, will you stay? And Matthew said, I got a wife and family. I can't stay. I can't. So his wife kind of looked at him after Sir Thomas More went on upstairs and what Matthew said was, if I had all of the luck in the world, I would give him some; but I don't have good luck to give him. If we had this, if we had that, if wishes were horses then beggars would ride. We know all of those things. But what's confronting us, Senator Witek, is a decision and what you suggest is the one that will put you in that hottest ring of Hades.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Crosby and members of the Legislature, let me respond if I could to a number of the points that have been made because I think there are very good responses to most all of them. First of all, as far as the amendment itself is concerned and whether the Governor and the Attorney General would choose somebody, I think they most certainly will choose somebody. I don't think there's any doubt about that. I can't imagine something that would be more detrimental to both their public images than on two grown men being unable to choose a third person. I just don't believe that would happen. In arbitration matters, in mediation matters, people who dislike each other intensely very often when they go through that process end up choosing a person who they consider, they both consider to be objective to resolve the matters between them. It's nothing new. It's nothing original or creative about the idea. It's a mediation idea straight from Senator Landis's book. Somehow what's trying to be impressed upon you here is