

SENATOR WITEK: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And you cannot be called upon to answer in any other place for anything you say during this discussion.

SENATOR WITEK: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you think the Attorney General...

SENATOR WITEK: Is that as an...are you advising me as an attorney, Senator?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm not an attorney.

SENATOR WITEK: I know.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm just a garden variety senator laboring in the vineyard of the public as are you.

SENATOR WITEK: I'll take your word then.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you think if the Attorney General had not given an Opinion which conflicts with the statutes his position would be different on whether or not this should go to court?

SENATOR WITEK: Well...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Let me ask it a different way. Do you think the fact that his Opinion and the statutes conflict may have influenced his judgment as to whether or not this should go to court?

SENATOR WITEK: No. I think he reasonably looked at the overall issue of establishing the contractual (interruption)...

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR WITEK: ...estoppel, determined that that was done, and then decided that we didn't need to go to court to determine that that was done; that by going to court it would cause more problems than it'd solve.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But if he really believes that his Opinion was correct, the court can only back up his Opinion. Isn't that