are the people that it does make a difference for. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Klein. Seeing no further lights, Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Madam President and members of the body. Just a quick summary. I agree with Senator Klein, this isn't an urban/rural issue. I appreciate the sarcastic humor, to a degree, that Senator Chambers has to try to goad people into doing one thing or another, and I always find it amusing, particularly since so often he actually gets to goad people to do the things that he has, dares them to do. All I'm asking for the Legislature is I'm not arguing the merits of the bill, I'm not arguing that it might not be a good thing to do. There are a lot of bills that are great things to do. If I...if you went to Revenue Committee and looked at the bills in Revenue Committee, I suspect you'd go through and find a lot of exemption bills that people are asking for special help that were not advanced out of committee. In fact, I suspect you'll go into next year and we'll start looking at even still some of the exemptions that may be out there. And I remember when we got ourselves in trouble years ago by...we began going exemptions and a little bit, then we did one more exemption, and then pretty soon our whole process got into difficulty, was in jeopardy of even being thrown out as unconstitutional, our entire real estate process, because we had so many different exemptions. And we just said, we're not going to do that anymore. We stopped all the exemptions. And here we go again. And it is a good cause. I suspect people might go abroad. I suspect even with this bill they might go, not abroad, but to Iowa or Kansas or some other place. But that's not the point that I'm trying to make on this bill. My question of my colleagues is, if your discussion on 1189 was that we spent about as much money as we can. If your position is going to be that, you know, we got to be very careful on our spending from now on, this is a $6 million hit, this is above and beyond what we've done, $6 million, and it'll continue, 2 to 3 million each year. We have to ask ourselves, where do we draw the line, number one. And number two, if we are going to delete the treasury of $6 million, is it in an area that we believe is the highest priority or one of the highest priority, or is it just one of the many that are good causes? And I would argue this is one of the many good causes. But when we look at all of the things that we're going to have in the next year of crucial,