

April 9, 1996

LB 1296

relate and refer to earlier opinions of its own, memorandum opinions, and rely on them as precedent in disregard of what the Supreme Court has said about those opinions. Isn't that true?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Well, not in disregard. They...what they said is that, my reading of Metro Renovations is that those opinions can be cited for whatever force they may have, persuasive force they have.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm talking about precedential...

SENATOR LINDSAY: Right. And I, so I mean as far as the question of whether they can cite them, whether the Court of Appeals can look at those, there is nothing that the Supreme Court has said nothing that they can't look at those, what they're saying is a question of precedential force...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And that's, that's the thrust of my question. I put the term precedent or...

SENATOR LINDSAY: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...precedential in it so that you'd know what I'm asking you.

SENATOR LINDSAY: And so then...right. And what I'm saying then is that they can then do...I mean the Court of Appeals could decide that the way they were going to decide it anyway.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But the Court of Appeals will be in a position to say pursuant to our ruling in such and such a case, that predated the Supreme Court's opinion,...

SENATOR LINDSAY: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...we hold the same way and that's all. And that they, they decide the case and resolve it on that basis.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Sure. Sure, I think they could.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then it's appealed to the Supreme Court.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Right.