

downstairs before we adjourn so that it could be acted upon on Thursday of this week. There are those conceivably that could be acted upon yet tomorrow, but then they couldn't be read until the 18th of April. So what I had asked the Lieutenant Governor to do would be to consider the agenda modified to the extent that we will finish whatever bill we're working on at 7:30. At that time, we will then list the bills that I believe will, in all likelihood...there's some of these other bills on Final Reading that do have motions pending, but I believe they were more for the purpose of making political points and arguing as to why they maybe should not be enacted, that type of thing. So we'll proceed to that list and probably do about two to two and a half hours of that, then we'll see what...what's happening upstairs and maybe sometime around ten o'clock be able to consider a motion for adjournment if we've moved through a fair amount of this Final Reading. So the main thing right now is we will, when we reach 7:30, we'll end consideration of this portion of the agenda, move to Final Reading. Members, there's a portion on tomorrow's agenda for motions to return, toward the end of the day, also, so it's conceivable we could get to some of these other motions at that point. If you have any questions about that, you can ask Senator Cudaback about that. He's the one that told me to announce that.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Withem. Senator Chambers, your light is next.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, let me say this about what we're doing. I can thoroughly understand why everybody who says they're going to vote for this is going to do so. I thoroughly understand it. I'm just not going to go along with you. I will not do it. There is more at stake here than being irritated at the Supreme Court about what they said the significance of a decision by the appellate court is. Senator Kristensen is probably correct in that the bill, the idea would have to be before us for seven days. That's what stuck in my mind at first, and then Senator Lindsay said five, then I wasn't sure, but at...because I hadn't just read it. But in any case, I don't believe it's been before us for a long enough period of time. Secondly, there has been no public hearing, and that's something people always are talking about when it comes to a very important issue. Somebody talked this morning about some idea that got past us late in the session. Maybe they were talking about what led to the Commonwealth