

the new, different gambling opportunities to come up, and we're not always going to be able to keep up with that. And so with that in mind, I would oppose the amendment.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Senator Hillman. Senator Chambers followed by Senators Will, Maurstad, Jensen and Fisher.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President and members of the Legislature and Senator Will, I left this bill alone earlier. I'm drafting amendments now. If this amendment is added to this bill, you can kiss the rest of anything being done today good-bye. I won't do like Senator Lindsay, and say I'm not going to hold the body's feet to the fire. I just want to make this point and I'm going to let it go. I'm drafting my amendments and I intend to offer them. And Senator Will and I talked earlier about a number of bills. And I left all these little gamblers alone, you know, all these little nickel and dime things, and this idea of the racetrack being able to have these. What does this bill do anyway? It talks about...let me ask Senator Will a question because Senator Will knows everything.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Senator Will.

SENATOR WILL: All right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Will, does this have something to do with simulcasting, this bill?

SENATOR WILL: Yes, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And without this bill, it wouldn't have any negative impact on horse racing, would it, if this bill didn't pass?

SENATOR WILL: There are two schools of thought on that. Primarily I believe that the latest Attorney General's Opinion, if you would go by that, indicates that the Racing Commission would be allowed to allow simulcasting this year and next year.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But if that opinion is wrong and somebody challenged it in court and that opinion turned out to be wrong, what would be the impact of that, without this bill?

SENATOR WILL: The impact might be that in certain instances