

April 9, 1996

LB 1039

LB 830 did permit that I believe.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, thank you.

SENATOR WARNER: I suppose the next Micron that comes along that might be an issue but...

SENATOR BEUTLER: But you want to see the next Micron first.

SENATOR WARNER: Yeah.

SPEAKER WITHEM: The question before the body is shall LB 1039 be readvanced? All in favor say aye. Opposed. It is readvanced. Let me, before proceeding with the next motion, make an announcement about the agenda. There have been a number of bills that have been added to the list here, other motions as filed. When we conclude debate on LB 1121, we will pass over LB 1108 and LB 1108A, those motions have been pulled. But we will add to that list LB 1255, LB 1296, I believe Senator Lindsay has a motion on 1296, LB 969, LB 693 and LB 1076. That will be, let me read over those again, LB 1255, LB 1296, LB 969, LB 693, and LB 1076. Those will be added after the consideration of LB 1121E. Mr. Clerk, next motion.

CLERK: Senator Warner would move to return LB 1039 on Select File for amendment 4223. (See page 1890 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, this deals with homestead exemption. You will recall that's what this bill now deals with in part and the issue had came up on the maximum value for disabled. Under existing law, the maximum value was 150 percent, the same for a nondisabled person or \$95,000, whichever was less, whichever was greater rather. And this is an amendment that has no appreciable cost impact at least it didn't show anything up. But it would increase for a disabled person that 150 percent to 175 percent or of the average value of homes in the county or \$110,000, whichever is greater. The rationale for differentiating between a disabled home is that most of them would have improvements, perhaps an elevator in some cases or ramps or other improvements that would have added to the value of the house and to make a disabled home