

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: But that's the reason that I wanted to engage in that conversation with Senator Withem so people understood as you and I've discussed on the floor a couple of times when we had...when we had two systems of fines, particularly when you came into these smaller communities and Senator Schellpeper is going to be our test case because he's going to come rolling into some little town and he's going to be doing 20 miles and over and he's going to get a pretty heavy fine and a lot of people are going to find that to be true. My guess is we'll be back to address that system some day after a number of people have come into a community and been going 60 miles an hour and all of a sudden it's 35.

SENATOR KLEIN: Yeah, but we're not messing with that 35 one anyway. Our fine structure doesn't touch those. We just touch the ones that are on the state highways, correct?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Well, as you...

SENATOR KLEIN: Unless it's a construction zone.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: It's the fine schedule as you come in if they are on a state highway...

SENATOR KLEIN: Right.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: ...and, see, the state highway will go into the community into the town.

SENATOR KLEIN: Okay, thank you.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Klein. Senator Kristensen. He waives off. Further discussion on the Withem amendment? Seeing none, Senator Withem, would you like to close?

SPEAKER WITHEM: Yeah. This amendment simply would place an operative date of June 1 on a number of portions of the bill, most notably the date in which the speed limit would change on the interstate highway and expressway system.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Withem. You've heard the closing. The question is, shall LB 901E be returned to Select File for specific amendment? All those in favor vote aye,