

SENATOR WARNER: Madam President, members of the Legislature, I'd rise to support the amendment. It's one of these that you could do this in a variety of ways. The way proposed here probably doesn't set quite as much money aside as would have under the original bill, but it has other advantages that also compensate for that and maybe not some of the disadvantage, or at least perceived disadvantage. Anyway, to save time I'd support the amendment. Hope we adopt it.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Warner. Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Madam Speaker, members, I, too, support the amendment. I do like doing it on an annual basis. I think it does make for a little more certainty in our cash flow balances. Takes away some of the uncertainty as to when this would come out and actually does the same thing and without getting carried away and taking out the cash reserve when it simply might eventually upset our minimum reserve. So I support the amendment.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Madam President. I, too, support the amendment. It makes the five percentage, at least the percent of 5 percent makes more sense to me now the way it is with the yearly than it did on the quarterly. Senator Wehrbein, I do want to ask you a question just so that it is on the record, because I don't think people were paying that much attention to the bill.

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Wehrbein, will you respond?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Senator Wehrbein, at the end of the year if we are in...if the projections are coming in lower, okay, so where under LB 38 there would have been a transfer from the cash reserve into...we've had a...now we won't have that. What will the Legislature then need to do in order to fill that gap? For example, if it was 30 million below where before we would have a transfer in, we wouldn't see that, it would just take place if the dollars were there, now the dollars may be