

interest in that for...closes out a sector which may have an interest in seeing other brewpubs getting started. The...what that does is it's very good for the status quo, I mean if you got your...if you got a brewpub that's up and operating and ready to go it's very good for them to close out just another option for people who might have to come up with equity to invest in a brewpub. It's very good for the status quo. But if we're talking about economic development, we want brewpubs sprouting up all over. If brewpubs are a nice attraction, and I don't disagree that they are, if they are a nice attraction we don't want to make it too difficult for them to get involved, including getting involved in the ability to distribute...to distribute that. Yet what, as drafted this changes it so that the craft brewer, a small craft brewer who might want to place it in the little bar next door, the little restaurant next door is unable to do that. It takes away that opportunity and it also takes away the ability for someone who is...who might be a restaurateur, who has a liquor license, a retail liquor license, from investing in another...in another brewpub. I think if we're going to make this change, which like I say may benefit those who are already in the business, I think we ought to have a look at why we intend to do that. And with that, as soon as Senator Landis gets off the phone I guess I'll ask him what the basis is, or why this particular section...let me back up. I guess Senator Schellpeper is here and this came through his committee. Senator Schellpeper.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Schellpeper.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Yes.

SENATOR LINDSAY: On...I'm looking at AM40...bringing you up to where we are, AM4434.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Yes, Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: And I'll give you...I'll give you a chance to get caught up on it. But page 27,...

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Yes.

SENATOR LINDSAY: ...lines 20 through 25, including the striking of the new language in line 20.