

blood alcohol levels over .10 that are killed in Columbus, and now we're taking a step backwards from something that, if nothing else, for the cost of a little bit of paper, had some symbolic value to it, that if not a real deterrent value of keeping people from buying kegs, or at least instilling the fear in the adults who are purchasing alcohol for minors, instilling in them the fear that there's some paper trail out there, that there's a keg with a unique number on it that's tied to a form with your signature on it. And whether it's working or not, whether there's been a conviction or not, that's generally what we hoped for, we hope not to get convictions. We hope to get deterrents. We hope nobody ever does it because the penalty is too great or because the...because they know there's a very good likelihood they're going to get caught doing it. If that's what the...if that's what the situation is, then we ought to look at not...we ought to look at not passing this amendment. I would again urge that the amendment not be adopted.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Lindsay. Mr. Clerk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Madam President, Senator Landis would move to amend AM4434. (Landis amendment, FA632, appears on page 1934 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Landis, to open on his amendment.

SENATOR LANDIS: Fair enough, I'll strike the section on the kegs. That's the motion.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Landis. Your light is next, do you wish to speak again? Senator Wehrbein, do you wish to speak to the Landis amendment?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Madam Speaker, members, I was going to get up on the other one. I do support Senator Landis' amendment, the one that strikes. I understand there is an issue. I read the papers, like everyone else, and sometimes questioning the effectiveness of that proposal of Senator Haberman several years ago, two or three years ago, whatever it was. But I'm not ready to throw it out yet at this point. I think it ought to be looked at carefully. I guess it did have a hearing. First I thought it did not have a hearing. Senator Hartnett says it did have a hearing. But I would rather look at that and see if there was some way to improve upon that at this point rather