

fairness what I'd like to do is to maybe hold off on that amendment. I don't know if there's other amendments filed, Mr. Clerk, but I haven't had copies made, so I'd like to get copies distributed to the members first. So if I could just go ahead and talk...if I'm in line, I'd go and talk on the bill, otherwise move....

PRESIDENT ROBAK: You are in line, Senator.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Madam President, members. Senator Witek raises some issues I hadn't...I mean Senator Landis came to me. And in fairness to Senator Landis, he just got this from the Liquor Commission, my understanding, this morning. He just got information on that this morning. But I know there was some...that keg law, Senator Haberman's keg law was passed within the last three years maybe. And it's a question...I haven't seen anything on how many things they have had filed. But especially in light of here we are, a month away from graduation parties, high school kids with kegs, here we are right in the middle of prom season, high school kids with kegs, maybe this is...I don't know, because like I say in fairness to Senator Landis he just found it out, too. But is this...is this...should we be withdrawing or repealing that law that was passed two or three years ago, three or four years ago, at this point? Is this, and even if it's not having an impact, has it had any deterrent effect? And if it hasn't had any deterrent effect, is it...regardless, do we want to pass three, four, five weeks before prom or before graduation night for high school kids, send the message out that, hey, we're going to make it just a little bit easier for you to buy a keg, we're going to take away one little tracking device for buying that. I think Senator Witek raises some valid questions about what is, I guess, now a different portion of the bill that ought to be addressed. And it's one...you know it's always interesting when you look back at things like Commonwealth, which was a consent...I understand some of the increase on the NDIGC was a consent calendar bill, or an amendment to a bill, or something like that. And these are the kind of things that get us in trouble with people that sneak up on us. And in a month I'd hate to see us defending something if we weren't aware that that's what we wanted to do. I think Senator Witek raises some valid questions. Is that...do we want to take that language out? Do we want to send a message that, regardless of whether it's working or not, I don't know if we have the information on