

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. Senator Landis, there are eight minutes remaining.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, Madam President. I will tell you that in the amendment that was substituted two things occurred. Number one, even though the other side did not agree to it, I have moved the effective date to March 1, 1997. It's my attempt to be as fair as I can in this case. Secondly, there was a provision brought to us by the Liquor Control Commission, and that is to alter the rule on kegs, which were...they had...that was passed by Senator Haberman, a number of years ago, and it strikes, in the notice provision, about warnings of purchases of beer kegs, proper identification and proper signatures are required. And the Liquor Control Commission is available to explain why it is that they need that. Basically, they told me they wanted it to save money, save costs, and it has not been effective at all as any kind of an enforcement tool. It simply increased administrative costs and expenses. I'll use the time of the body as well as I can. I'll submit the rest of my time for the body and I'll lay out of the debate, having explained the amendment, until such time as the body is ready to vote. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Landis. Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Madam President, members. The part of the amendment, the major part that was included in the Landis amendment, the substitute amendment dealt with kegs. If you remember Senator Haberman's bill a few years ago that was passed, that's what it includes now. Liquor Commission doesn't like it. I don't think it's controversial, but that's basically that and the date change that he talked about were the additions to it. Other than that, I think we're still basically talking about the same...the same amendment. So I'll get back to what does the amendment do. And it's...when we talk about economic development that Senator Robak...and, by the way, Senator Robak does have...I don't hold anything that she said against her because she's got a brewpub in her district, she's got to do that. I understand. She's got the largest one in the state in her district, so I've got to...can't criticize her for that. But the rest of us don't. We don't have the largest brewpubs in our state, so I guess that's who I'd address that to. And by the way, I don't have a brewpub in my district, but they're very