

agreement on the task force, its need, its makeup. What the concern that we've been dealing a lot on is the management of the current system. And I was concerned about the elimination of services to those who are perfectly happy with the service. They're perfectly happy with the fees that they're charged. They're unhappy when they think about that service being continued and how it will affect their daily lives and their business. So there may be some unhappy people, Senator Chambers, and there may be some other questions which we're not dealing with today on the floor. But don't forget about all those that are currently receiving the service, like the service, have no problems with the service and the way it's distributed nor for the charges that they pay to receive that information. And there are, I'm going to tell you if you think there's some outcry about what fees are being charged, if you would eliminate this contract right now, the outcry would be, in my estimation, much, much larger than any outcry you're hearing right now because it is a good service. It does provide information in a cost effective manner looking at what other things are involved for people again, like I said earlier, to get this kinds of information. The second part then what this amendment deals with are the concerns of those w/o, like Senator Chambers, want and need some legislative oversight and how that system...to know how that system will be temporarily, and I underline temporarily, temporarily managed until the task force comes back and we can put something...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR HILLMAN: ...into statute that will look at what types of information and fees will be charged in the future. I think the Chambers amendment just does some clarification of what I think the intent of the Bromm-Hillman amendment does. I would urge the body to accept the Chambers amendment and then the Bromm-Hillman amendment.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Hillman. Senator Cudaback.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Madam President and members, I am for the Senator Chambers amendment. Any time you can clarify something, why, so much the better. This whole bill...I'm on the committee where this bill was presented, it was tough then and it's tough now. I think with amendments we have here with Senator Withem and Senator Bromm coming together with their ideas and working