

information out, they would first provide a written report to the Executive Board 30 days prior to entering into the contract. And the report would include a copy of the contract, the public information which is the subject of the contract, subscriber fees for the specific public information which is the subject of the contract, the anticipated time line for implementation, and any security provisions for the protection of confidential or sensitive records. Now that report would have to be submitted to the Executive Board at least 30 days prior to the implementation of that execution contract. Okay. Those are the substantive changes. This last one I have described, as far as I'm concerned, strikes a compromise between those folks that want to have unlimited ability to expand this service during the next year and a half when some of us feel that it may arise out of a contract that is illegal to begin with. It strikes a compromise because it says that if they're going to charge a fee for something new that they don't have on-line already or with an agency that they don't have under contract, if the fee is in law, if we said it's a dollar, if we've said it's \$5, they're going to have to abide by that for the next year and a half on that document. But if it's a document for which we have not provided a fee or something we have not thought was that important to provide a fee for, we're not saying they can't go ahead and add it to their array of information. But we're saying if they're going to charge a fee for it, they have to notify the Exec Board in advance, air it out, and see if that sounds I guess like a reasonable fee. The Executive Board would not have the power to veto it, but simply I guess could act as a sounding board to respond or react or schedule a public hearing if they wanted to, I guess, to see what the public might think of it. The other item in the amendment that Senator Hillman and I are proposing is the addition of the Secretary of State to the task force. The Secretary of State does have the second most demanded records in the state on-line, motor vehicle records being the most voluminous records sought and Secretary of State's records being the second most sought after records I guess. And the Secretary of State expressed an interest in being on this task force and I basically don't have any problem with that. It seems logical so that's an addition to the task force. I guess with that explanation I think we've got something that we've made some headway on in this session with a very difficult subject, one that we came into the session very cold on, not having a lot of information or idea about how to approach it. So if we can proceed with this amendment to the