

correctly, is the same as mine. We are not changing any of the programs enumerated. We're not adding to that list; we're not taking away from it. All that we're saying by whatever amendment we adopt that our intent is to allow negotiation where there is a collective bargaining situation relative to how the money may be spent or on which programs, but we're not saying that the programs should be done away with.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Brashear.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Madam President, I agree, Senator Chambers, you have accurately stated we are not saying there can be no programs and, in fact, I read the act to require the programs by the participating employer in order to obtain the benefits of the act.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And when we use the language that you're adopting, I meant that you're adding and which I will support, and we say that they can negotiate with reference to the programs...let me get the exact wording, "negotiate relative to such programs", the term "relative to such programs" should be construed in light of everything else that we said. Correct?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, Senator Chambers, it should be construed specifically in light of the entirety of subsection (3), which specifically enumerates the program as being...programs as being "company training programs, employee benefit programs, educational institution training programs, or company workplace safety programs, or any combination thereof."

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. With those comments having been made, I'm going to support Senator Brashear's amendment to my amendment.

SENATOR COORDSEN PRESIDING

SENATOR COORDSEN: You finished? There are no other lights on. Senator Brashear, did you wish to close on your amendment? Closing is waived. The question before the body is the Brashear amendment to the Chambers amendment to LB 1368. Those in favor please vote aye, those opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays on the adoption of the amendment to the amendment, Mr. President.