

always takes them out, so I'd welcome the amendment. I think it is clearer.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So do you want to just write those two words in yours? Then we don't have to...what I'm trying to do now is save us time. If you're willing to put those two words in, maybe we could just at the desk put those in the amendment that you have here, or if we have to withdraw the one you have...

SENATOR BRASHEAR: I'll go do it. (See Senator Brashear's FA618 as found on page 1888 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...and substitute it. Okay, because I don't intend to badger this to death. But while Senator Brashear goes up to take care of that little item, the next...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...question I would want to ask him relates to the construction of his amendment, because I don't want it to say more or go beyond what it is that he intends. Madam President, I'm not going to try to say it all now, so I'm going to end at this point.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Brashear, your light is next. Pass over. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, because Senator Brashear can do two things at one time, he might be able to listen to what I'm saying, but if he misses any of it then I will say it again because I think it's...this is a significant point. And I'm not going to try to change your amendment on this point, but I want to see if maybe the language is broader than what you intend, or if you want something in the record to show what you mean. And I'm not going to fight against your amendment, by the way, because with what you have said the language and the record will make it clear what it is we're trying to do so, with that, it doesn't have to be expressed the way that I had offered in my amendment. So here's what I would ask. Where we say "subject to a collective bargaining agreement to negotiate relative to such programs", you don't mean by that language that the negotiation could result in there being no programs and, as a result, an inability to carry out what the language of the statute talks about. Your intent, if I understand you