

is this way needs to come from them. But it seems to me as though, having been on the Exec Board about three years, that these types of things, that usually we have more generalized language that there will be X number of senators and then leave the selection process to the Executive Board as opposed to naming specific individual senators, that at least that's my sense. I wouldn't be able to document that with a tremendous amount of specific examples at this time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Now, Mr. President, I would like to ask Senator Bromm a question or two, if I may.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Senator Bromm.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Did you have a reason for putting into the statute these specifications of chairpersons? And I'm not being critical with the question.

SENATOR BROMM: Senator Chambers, when we started to think about this concept, we recalled that Senator Warner had drafted the resolution last year which originated the effort to look at property tax reform and when we pulled that resolution out and looked at how the members of the task force were created, we patterned it similarly and that's how that property tax resolution was framed, was to pick the chairpersons from these committees as members of the task force and, really, to be honest with you, that's where that originated from in our mind and it didn't seem unreasonable. It seemed like it worked this year so we didn't think we could improve that pattern. But that's the answer.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Bromm, isn't it a fact that various committees not named in this list conducted hearings in conjunction with that effort?

SENATOR BROMM: I'm sure that that would be correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Because the Judiciary Committee did. Where would the chairperson of Agriculture come in when the people in the ag sector may feel that they are, I guess the term might be,