

make an announcement to the members one more time to remind them of what we said earlier today regarding. . . And I think we probably have a time in mind now. When there's a convenient break, a few minutes either side of seven o'clock, we will quit doing what we're doing for a few moments and move to the Select File list and deal with those bills that are clean, don't have amendments. So you ought to be aware of that. There have been three more of those added to the list as it existed this morning. They are LB 952, LR 27CA, and LB 29, and of course LB 29A with that. And there's a list up here at the Clerk's desk. If you want to take a look and see what that list is, you'll be able to see that. With that, Chair would recognize Senator Warner to speak on the Janssen amendment.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I believe I have an amendment up to the amendment, Mr. Clerk.

SPEAKER WITHEM: I'm sorry, Mr. Clerk, I understand you have amendments to this amendment.

CLERK: I do. Senator Warner, your first amendment to Senator Janssen's amendment is one that reads on page (sic) 6, strike "July 19", insert "April 1". (FA611 is found on page 1846 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR WARNER: Yeah. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, you had the amendment either handed out or in the book. This portion of the amendment deals with the authorization for a Special Building Fund or sinking fund established for projects commenced prior to July 19th of '96 for construction and so forth. And this would change the date to April 1. And the reason for doing this, I have a concern that the date there that projects could be commenced that are not planned, merely to get in under the time frame. I assume, Senator Janssen, that you selected July 19th because that would have been 90 days after the session, and from that point would be logical. But, by the same token, if we're looking for some...perhaps some mergers or some of those kind of programs, I could see where some building project might be commenced under this without adequate planning, merely to perhaps stymie the possibility of some more efficient organization, not necessarily that but could be otherwise as well. But I think if the purpose is to recognize some thing that's underway that's planned that is on its way to being completed, why, then perhaps this portion