

April 3, 1996

LB 1114

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...about that petition the NSEA-Farm Bureau petition, when they see what we've done and there's no replacement revenue, and they look at that petition and say, well, at least this guarantees us a quality education. Does that encourage people to sign petitions? Might encourage me. Wouldn't encourage me. I guess I trust us more than that. But they trust us not at all. They might very well...this might very well increase the number of signatures on that petition and have the very opposite effect of what this whole scenario, this whole game, this whole package is supposed to be about. I hope you see fit to adopt the amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Time. The question before the body now then is the adoption of the Beutler amendment. All of those in favor vote aye, all opposed vote nay. You may both have record votes. Have you all voted? Record vote has been requested. Mr. Clerk, record.

CLERK: (Read record vote as found on pages 1845-46 of the Legislative Journal.) 10 ayes, 27 nays on the amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: The amendment is not agreed to. Next item.

CLERK: Mr. President, the next amendment is by Senator Janssen, found on page 1806 of the Journal. (AM4295)

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Janssen.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, are we on 4295, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Yes, sir, we are.

SENATOR JANSSEN: All right. This is an amendment that would address a number of concerns that could arise in the implementation of levy limits established in 1114. School districts, specifically to remove two expenditures from those levy limitations on school districts. Both the amounts included from the levy limits are things which some school districts have previously committed to provide. One of those is early retirement incentives, payments which the individual school district has already agreed to pay to teachers through an agreement of the teachers and the districts, and the amounts which would be required to be paid by the school district under