

April 2, 1996

LB 1114

favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Those of you off the floor but can still hear the voice from in here, if you could come back and cast the vote it'd be appreciated. Yes, Senator.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: I'll take a call of the house and accept call-in votes.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Question before the house is, shall the house go under call? All of those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Record.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays to place the house under call.

SPEAKER WITHEM: House is under call. We are voting on the Maurstad amendment. Call-in votes have been authorized, members.

CLERK: Senator Bromm voting yes. Senator Landis voting yes.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Record.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 6 nays on the amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Amendment is adopted. The call is raised.

CLERK: Senator Warner would move to amend Senator Maurstad's amendment. Pull it?

SPEAKER WITHEM: It is withdrawn. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Back to Senator Maurstad's amendment as amended.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Warner, for discussion on the Maurstad amendment.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I rise to oppose the amendment now for a number of reasons. Number one, the situation is reversed now. With the amendment that was adopted, why, people can circulate a petition and ask for a lower levy whereas, as the bill stood, the governing board would have to go out and ask for a vote for a higher levy, which is significantly different. But that's not the real issue. The real issue to me are two things. One, it's been pointed out that many of these small towns have retired, difficult to pay.