

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Right, that'd be all other classes of property, which would be commercial,...

SENATOR CROSBY: Everything.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: ...centrally assessed,...

SENATOR CROSBY: Everything.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: ...and those other items.

SENATOR CROSBY: I thought that...I think that was a little confusing. That's why I'm asking and I wanted to be sure I was understanding it correctly. Yes, thank you, Doug. I took down some of the things that Senator Schrock said and also Senator Schellpeper. I agree with the point and I've said that before, that until we bite the bullet and decide we're going to raise sales tax or something what we're doing here I don't...and I never tell my constituents that their property taxes are going to go down because of what we're doing here right now because I don't think they will. Lancaster County and Lincoln has high valuations because we have high priced property and we have a school district that has a lot of expenses. We have a lot of children in this school district and we have to educate them. I don't know how you're going to get away from that and I said that last week again. You want the fire department to come if your house is on fire, so I don't know how you're going to get away from the fact that our valuations are high and so I'd like some of you tax experts to explain that to me and if we do...if all the things that we're doing, and I'm trying to hang in and vote for some of them, the lids are what concern me more than anything because we already have a lid in 1059, the original 1059. I again think maybe we should retire some of those numbers, but that lid, if we had just left it, I don't know why we have to go to another lid and then go back. I think that's going to confuse a lot of people, I really do. I think taxpayers right now are becoming even more confused than they were before because of what we're doing here. But I just did want to establish that my memory was correct that we put that in the like '90...1990 or someplace along that, that went into the constitution that agricultural land would be taxed in a different way because it produces, and my house does not produce. I think that's fine. But I do get concerned about