

somebody wants to overcome those problems by creating, now remember these words, these are all important, from that well-known authority, Mr. Macdonald, whoever that is, supreme executive power. Supreme, except for the Manifesto, I don't remember those kind of words being used too many other places, to tell you the truth. We say there's no supervisory powers, that's right, because you see the way the system works is an assessor or treasurer, a registrar of deeds and those other people that were not mentioned by Senator Robinson, these are people that provide a responsibility within a county's limits that nobody else provides, not a school district, not a city, not a sanitary improvement district, nobody. You have one assessor assessing all the property, one treasurer, one registrar of deeds, and I could go on down the list. It is in fact a complete and total consolidation of responsibilities. And the test as to whether or not there is supervisory responsibilities is in fact in the hands of the voters. Think about that. Isn't that terrible. Give voters the responsibility of throwing somebody out after four years if they don't do job. Now when we appoint this administrator who will have supreme executive powers, will we have a chance to vote on that person every two years or four years to throw them out if we don't think it's right? No, I don't think so. They might say, well you can throw out the people that appoint them, but still on the other hand it's obviously the fact that this is an administrative way to get around representative government, it's a way to try to change the system and make it look like you're saving money. I wonder if this would pass if people should suggest there could be an administrator if we would come back with the total cost of running those units of government under this appointed supreme executive power based on how much they make and see how much money we saved? Most county officials make very little money. Most people working for the counties, especially out west and northwest, can't make a lot of money because there just isn't that kind of economy out there that provides it. But you have dedicated people willing to work at those jobs. So now we're being told that somebody is going to do it better, if we hire somebody that gets paid maybe three, four, five, maybe six times more, depending on how many counties they consolidate, to do the same thing? What kind of checks and balances do you have if you don't like the way the job is being done? Who knows? Who knows who that supreme executive power might be? It could be the brother-in-law of whoever is chairman of the board. Who knows who that will be? I think it's the