

April 1, 1996

LB 1044, 1059

regional governing boards, several of them in some cases in different regions. Not in Omaha; in Omaha they have one governing board and a united system, but for the other regions they vary. You would have to have each of them agree to a change. You would then have to have the staff that are hired and fired by that regional governing board agree to participate and then you have the monetary question of how much would be funded by whom. The questions involved and the structure involved in the current system is such that to make the sort of changes that would streamline our system, so that as I thought we were trying to accomplish with 1044, any individual in the state that should come into hard times and need help could go to one office, that we're scattered across the state, tell their story, have a caseworker put that into the computer, come back and say, here is what you are qualified for to receive this type of help, then arrange for a caseworker to be assigned, just one to that person, not several, to then go off and make sure that that person's needs were met and that we moved on from there. That sort of concept that I think is what we were talking about, I don't think truly will be possible under the current structure in governance in hiring and firing and power that we now have in place. It is a multifaceted system with a variety of different administrative structures in place and, in my view, we took the first step with the passage of 1044. We can't go further without taking this additional step, and that middle structure that we have in place in these regions has to be dealt with. As long as the regional structure is there and the variety of powers and funding are in place, to get to the community will be made very difficult. Because you have so much work to do to just get the regions pulled together, to then go next and beyond that and to working with communities to get them to pull together, it is going to be very difficult, in my view. In fact, I can give you examples of how we've tried to bypass all this to get to communities. We have set up even strange funding formulas to accomplish that. And all that, I think, has been time wasted that could have been spent actually addressing the problems and working with communities on those. So, although the regions have served many positive functions over the years, I don't want to say that they haven't, it has been cumbersome and, I think in some cases, costly to have that system, and to continue the system I don't think is wise. One of the things that I have passed out for you is a chart showing in aging, developmental disabilities, social services, substance abuse and mental health, we have the different regional offices that are