

comparison between the fiscal note and the A bill. On the last three lines of the A bill, it says the total expenditures for permanent and temporary salaries and per diems from funds appropriated in this section shall not exceed \$74,223 for each of the next two years, and yet the fiscal note adds to that figure \$20,000 for benefits. Does that mean in the next two years that the benefits won't be available, or where are they going to be paid from?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator, the total appropriation is \$129,000 which consists, as I see it, of the actual salaries and the benefits and the amount...excuse me...estimated for capital outlay...

SENATOR DIERKS: Okay, and then what happens...

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...and operating costs.

SENATOR DIERKS: ...what happens the next year?

SENATOR BEUTLER: The next year?

SENATOR DIERKS: What happens...

SENATOR BEUTLER: The next year then it's reduced.

SENATOR DIERKS: No, I mean the third year.

SENATOR BEUTLER: The third year out?

SENATOR DIERKS: Well, you've got...they give us two years here, and they're talking about '96-97 and '97-98. And they say, in conjunction with that, that only \$74,223 will be used for permanent and temporary salaries. But yet, that \$20,501 that's considered in the first two years with the 1997... '96-97 and '97-98 General Fund expenditures, where does that go for the third year? The salaries will continue for those two people after the second year.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Are you questioning whether the benefits continue?

SENATOR DIERKS: Yes.