

we didn't spend or have a hodgepodge of people making request. The amendment that I have here, 3910, is very simple. It is a one-word amendment, and it goes like this, if you will look on page 7 of the E & R amendments to 901, down in subsection (ii), and they don't have line amendments here, but this is the one where it says, "Beginning on and after September 1, 1996, sixty miles per hour upon any part of the state highway system other than an expressway or freeway, except that the Department of Roads may, where design and traffic conditions allow, according to an engineering study, authorize a speed limit five miles per hour greater." Where it says "where design and traffic conditions allow," I put the word "existing" so it says "where existing design and traffic conditions allow". In other words, speed, increased speed will not become a design factor that people say, gee, you ought to do this road just so you can go faster. What you're going to do is look at the existing design and the existing traffic conditions. If they allow for that, then they can go ahead and do the increase of 5 mile an hour up to 65 miles an hour. That's all the amendment does. I envisioned, at the time I spoke on General File, that I'd have maybe a two or three paragraph amendment. Evidently the word "existing" does whatever Department of Roads needs to do. And so when this all occurs, and I think you'll see an amended fiscal note sometime down the line, in effect what they're going to go out and do, and they can do it with their existing monies, but it will come out of some construction, is they're going to probably go do a study of everywhere and do it and post those signs first, okay. So they'll go through the state highway system and look and see which ones they can increase to five miles an hour instead of doing a study each time somebody makes a request. And then as part of the needs study goes on every year, you'll probably get an update on that. Do I like the provision of going five mile an hour? No, I don't like it. Is it going to stay in the bill? Yes, it probably will stay in the bill. If you're going to do that, I would hope that we could put this one word "where existing design" instead of just "where design and traffic conditions allow". The other thing that I think you need to be aware of is when they're going to do this study of the state ahead of time that will be a check on our inventories that we have of all of our roads. So it isn't money probably being spent just for speed limits. It's a document and a study that we'll be able to use for other ones. You'll not see that money come out of General Funds. It's going to come out of their...basically their construction budget. It's going