

considered as other things are considered in this whole review. Is that a bottom line thing that I can rest on?

SENATOR WARNER: Yeah, but backing that up, as you are aware, Senator Wesely, I believe there's at least two. I know the entire Revenue Committee signed one, in fact, two study resolutions for interim studies to look at that issue specifically because it's probably more of a policy issue than anything. But I would anticipate that the activity under 1373 would look at it as well. But it's not...it's also going to look at the impact no matter what the form of organization that a company has, what kind of corporate form, subchapter S, limited liability, all those...

SENATOR WESELY: Um-hum.

SENATOR WARNER: ...concepts, and I would assume that a model could have a different impact depending what the form is. I don't know that that's necessarily true. But if you go back and read those reports, I would say that that concept is within the scope. The other thing that I have some concern about the amendment, it may be a good formula. I have a little hesitancy of directing this group as to the kind of methodology or suggesting a methodology that their cost analysis ought to follow. It might be the right direction but I don't want to exclude what other methodology they might have.

SENATOR WESELY: So the concept, though, about the...look at the revenue loss, look at the revenue gain, and then also include the costs involved, I mean that is something that could...I mean that's something that would be left to the process that you're envisioning under the bill. Is that correct?

SENATOR WARNER: Yes, if...I'm starting...I think I got those reports because I was going to start to read them for like local impact and the type of things that a cost model ought to have for local impacts would reflect the kind of wording that you have in your amendment as well.

SENATOR WESELY: Okay.

SENATOR WARNER: Those two reports are intended to be the base...