

think are really very fine administrative details in the administration of a program, even when that program is quite critical.

SENATOR WILL: One minute.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: What we're being asked to do here is actually provide for, and I'm sorry to say this, Senator, but micromanagement of a program, and if we follow this line we will begin micromanaging all kinds of proposals and all kinds of agencies. Not only do I think that's inappropriate, I don't think we have the time for it, I don't think we have the expertise for it, and I have grave reservations about starting down the path.

SENATOR WILL: Thank you, Senator Wickersham. Senator Wehrbein, on the first division of the Bromm amendment.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, Mr. Speaker and members, I believe tentatively I can support the first part. The second division, I think, is the one that I will have to have more discussion with. Senator Bromm, I'd like to ask you a couple questions on this particular one because, first of all I'll make a premise, we cannot, for the rest of the body, not necessarily for you, but for the rest of the body we really can't delay starting this process. It's going to take three years to get us ready for the year 2000, the way we understand it. Consultant has informed us, has given us some preliminary discussions and some ideas. The RFPs, request for proposals, will go out as soon as this spring, as this bill clears, if it does, so that we can see what's out there. So, first of all, we're going to...if there truly is a magic bullet out there and we can do this for half or a fourth that money will be...that will be reflected in these RFPs, so that we know that we are going to be able to solve the problem much, much less than it looks like now. The other thing is before I forget about it I want to make sure this is not an increase in cigarette tax, this is a diversion of 2 cents of the cigarette tax into this fund away from 309. If we do not need that money, we can take action through the Appropriations Committee, next year, to return that money to the 309 fund, if that's the wish of the body and the committee. But the thing on this particular amendment is...if I support this, it's not your intention to slow up the activity at this point, in other words, going to RFP and that type of thing? This...your intent in this