

existing shall relocate to and expand in. So all it does it break the two concepts down and makes the language apply to each one separately in a way that is appropriate. The next amendment would be in line 16. We would strike the comma after the word "appropriate", strike the word "more" where it's located and insert it after "administratively". Then, instead of saying "more administratively convenient and efficient", we would say "administratively more convenient and efficient". I'm giving this for the record because I know that it's not nearly as exciting as a sax and violins, I mean sex and violence. I was thinking about music, Senator Jensen, as I looked over at you. Then, in line 19, we would strike the words "needing to be" for the reason that they're superfluous. The language would say, "if the wage benefit credit is charged against the company's income tax rather than needing to be individually computed", we can strike "needing to be" and just say "charged against the company's income tax rather than individually computed". Then in line 20 and line 21 we would strike the words "will be used by the company for the" and in line 20 after the word "the" we would insert "company uses the" and then, after "credit" insert "for". And the sentence, instead of reading "Therefore if the wage benefit credit will be used by the company for the company training programs," it says simply, "Therefore if the company uses the wage benefit credit for company training programs". Then in line 23, after "programs" we come to some language which I don't think is adequate for the purpose that I think it should serve but, nevertheless, I would add it at this point so that it tracks the language found in another part of the bill. On page 3, lines 5 through 9 is a listing of the items for which this wage benefit credit shall be applied, the kind of programs. We have that listing on page 2 where I'm going to offer an amendment and on page 2 we don't have this language which is found on page 3. The language on page 3 which is not on page 2 is the following: "or any combination thereof, as determined by the company." So even though I don't like this language standing alone allowing the company to make the determination, all this amendment that I'm offering does is to make the cataloging of these items on page 2 track the language of the same cataloging of items found on page 3. The amendment is not exciting, is not interesting and I will admit, frankly, it's not really necessary. But when we're drafting legislation and it seems to me that it's likely to pass there is a form that I would like to see the language take and Senator Brashear has not been resistant, but he indicated to me earlier he'd like to have