

unjust enrichment. Why should we do that at a later date instead of now?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Because time is a precious commodity. We are here dealing with 1368. The issue you raise has no applicability to 1368 or to the specific situation of Union Pacific or the general situation of any collectively organized employer. I truly believe we're tilting at the theoretical.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, if Senator Wickersham's amendment has nothing to do with hurting what your bill is yet you're so opposed to that, you are.... Okay, I'm not going to ask it as a question now. I'm going to make some assertions. I would hate to think that Senator Brashear and some of his cronies have been looking at this legislation as a way to do exactly what...

SENATOR WILL: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ..I'm talking about; that they're going to look at some of this and if they think that they can make a go of it they'll withhold this money and if they think they can gain by spending it as they're required under the law they'll do it, but, in the meantime, they're going to make as much money off it as they can. And if they decide that it's not going to benefit them and make the profit level they'd like to make, then they just let the state recapture it and they keep the difference between what they made off that money and what the state recaptures. They keep it. And he can't, if he's so naive, which I don't think he is, he would be telling me that he thinks businesses don't think about things like this and they don't calculate. How did those gentle persons manage to make over a million dollars and not pay a penny income tax? People are saying that wasn't the intention of the Legislature, but people saw through what we did. It's not going to take a long time to draft an amendment like...

SENATOR WILL: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ..I'm talking about.

SENATOR WILL: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Withem. I don't see Senator Withem. Momentarily, we'll move to Senator Hudkins.