

reductions in funding of administration and shall then in effect take all your cuts, if you can, as much as you can, away from administration and go to other areas, there may be certain programs that are out there that would be good for cutting first, that happened to be a small program that may not be as necessary, would be lower on the priority. Maybe you've got a program in weight lifting, I don't care, whatever it is maybe that's the one that you want to get rid of first to do those sorts of things. And so, one, is that the intent language probably doesn't have a whole lot of binding impact; but, two, is I think that it goes against the philosophy that I wanted to see, and that was to give the boards the flexibility to do those sorts of things. And so I think you're better off, let the county board, or in this case the school district determine the best area to go. If you don't like what they're going to do that's the essence of local control. If you're going to start to mandate you've got to do this, you've got to do that, you're going to tie their hands and you're not going to give them much ability to do that. And so, while it looks good on its first glance, I got to tell you I think it's not very good policy at this point in view that's the reason you elected that school board, they're the ones that are going to determine the priorities. What you're doing through the spending limitations and the levy limits are saying, here are the general parameters, you deal with this and find some way to live within it. I just consider an amendment like this to give an edge in negotiations because when it comes time to negotiate for salaries and other things they're going to point to this and say, well, gee, you're not doing what you're supposed to be doing, and the intent in the language of the Legislature was that you're supposed to cut those administration costs first. The other part is, what's an administration cost? And I think that those lead and that's something perhaps we can look at on Select File, is to revisit the Brown amendment. But I would rise at this point to oppose the Lindsay amendment just based on cutting down our flexibility. And it cuts down the flexibility of the local boards to live within the parameters that we're going to set for them. Thank you, Madam President.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, I didn't expect to have the opportunity to speak on Senator