

the interim. And certainly the Supreme Court upholding the common levy puts some pressure on some of those school districts that Senator Wesely is referring to. And then this year I did also introduce a bill that we will be studying over the interim, the one-tier bill that, instead of being in your tiers based on size, there would be one tier that would look at sparsity as a factor. And so that's the difficulty in looking at those school districts that need to be there because of a sparsity factor and looking at ways to, I guess the way we've looked at it, to encourage those districts that are not there because of a sparsity or a need but are there by choice. So in that way we share some of the same concerns, Senator Wesely and I do, I guess we just believe in going about it in a different manner. And, as I have indicated to him, I think that if we are not successful...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR BOHLKE: ...in doing that and we need to remember all of the incentives that we have offered are in a time limited time space. So there is a time when schools will have to take advantage of that. And I think it will allow us to measure the success of those incentives and see if those school districts that are small because of choice remain and do not take advantage of the incentives. I would then imagine that there would be other bills and legislation coming back to the Legislature that would go more along the lines of what Senator Wesely is proposing.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Bohlke. Senator Elmer.

SENATOR ELMER: Thank you, Madam President. Senator Wesely, could I ask you a couple of questions please?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Wesely.

SENATOR ELMER: Senator Wesely, does your reorganization plan have in it the requirement that districts be contiguous in their formation whenever they would get together?

SENATOR WESELY: Right. Yes.

SENATOR ELMER: Okay. Does it designate a percentage of a budget that should be administration and no more?