

it that way, but the decision will be made on whether to go mail or not based upon how many people do people want to vote. It ..same thing applies to school districts. One of the interesting dilemmas school boards will have is the choice of do we do it by a special election by mail ballot, or do we do it for general election? The decision will not be made as to whether or not more people will participate. That will not be the question. The goal of Senator Cudaback and Senator Schimek will not be met because the answer...the question is going to be determined by what is our best chance of success. So a school board, in my opinion, would basically say if we do all registered voters of which the majority of voters do not have children in our school system, is that going to give us a better chance on a bond issue or on a special levy issue that we're going to have on the property tax? Or would it be better to have less participation so we can rally those who are in the schools, that are affected by the schools directly and maybe, just maybe, we might be able to sneak through or, squeak through would be a better word, and win our bond issue or win the levy increase? And one of the things that I'm concerned about is if we want to do voter increase, let's do it. But we're not doing that. We're giving the option which means the decision is going to be made on the political advantage of what they're trying to do. And I'd like somebody to argue against that because I don't think...I think it's a very defensible position. What this amendment, what this motion does is not kill the bill. This motion says (a) we'll continue down the list to some of the other bills that are committee priorities that quite honestly we may or may not be able to get back to, but (b) it does say we are going to bring up the bill again. Senator Cudaback and I and others may have more of a reason to sit down very seriously and look at some possibilities. But also we're going to be able to have the whole property tax picture better in mind as that debate on 299 and the effects and what special elections there will be and possibly be and by whom, all of that will be much clearer. Then we get to this proposal. I think that's a reasonable request though I don't have any high hopes that this motion will be adopted. I want to jump subjects just a little bit. The reason that I...one of the reasons I changed my mind on this particular, there are a lot of reasons, but one was the discussion the other evening on 1085. Interestingly enough, no one brought up that particular section for discussion. I certainly had it marked, and it is going to be up for discussion on Select File. I was waiting to see if anybody else would talk