

think he had good intentions, but I just think that we're...if we start doing this, I mean, where do we stop? We just can't pick and choose what we want to come before the voters.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: 1...let's say for a minute I agree with you 100 percent, Senator Cudaback. Would you agree to an amendment that would include candidates then for the mail-in ballot?

SENATOR CUDABACK: No, I didn't.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: So you picked and "choosed", haven't you?

SENATOR CUDABACK: We set...we set the...we all agreed to that. We said no candidates.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: I understand that, but when you wrote the bill you did not include candidates, did you? You picked and chose what people and elections would be used by your method and which ones would not, correct? So Senator Maurstad's amendment is no different than what you did because you didn't want to have it apply to us, I would assume, and other people on...because candidates, because it might be too controversial. Is that what your judgment was?

SENATOR CUDABACK: I guess I'll let the body decide that.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: No, I'm asking you what your...it's your bill. I want to know what your analysis or explanation of why you made that conscious effort not to include candidates.

SENATOR CUDABACK: We had a discussion on this. We didn't want to try something. We didn't want to reinvent the wheel. We looked at other states,...

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR CUDABACK: ..what they did. We looked at other states. Many of them did not include candidates. I think if you really do want to get on the grass roots in keeping the voting part of us, as you might say, going to the polling place, the candidates is a big issue. There's probably more, if there's any chance at all for fraud, if, I don't think there is, but if there's any