

some provisions of LB 1050 that do benefit some of my districts, although in Phelps County, the shift will be at least a loss of \$300,000 in one year to the seven school districts in that county. I do believe by tinkering with LB 1059 every year, and this is more than tinkering, we are developing a sense of distrust to the people of the state of Nebraska. And I do believe Senator Abboud was right, they were under the illusion that they would receive 20 percent of the income tax every year, and now that is being diluted. I don't like to always have the last word. I will withdraw this amendment, but if Senator Bohlke would like to respond, I would let her.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Bohlke.

SENATOR BOHLKE: Not...not really any great response, Senator Schrock. You and I have also had this dialogue and realizing that there are some school districts who do drop down to a lower percentage, but as the formula looks at that, it's those school districts that have more resources and far more resources than needs, and that's really the whole point of the equalization formula.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Bohlke. Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: And, Senator Bohlke, I would add it appears to me like rural Nebraska will have fewer students and the valuation will still be there. Urban Nebraska will keep having more students and the shift from rural to urban could take place possibly under 1050, but, yes, you want to respond.

SENATOR BOHLKE: The only other thing, Senator Schrock, is that I have also talked about and we haven't said enough times how many school districts in rural Nebraska benefit from 1050, and the list is very long and goes all across the state.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: With that, Madam President, I would withdraw the amendment.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The amendment is withdrawn. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Madam President, the next amendment I have is by Senator Withem, but I have a note that he wishes to withdraw...