

March 22, 1996

LB 1050

CLERK: Madam President, Senator Bohlke, I now have your suspension motion, Senator.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Bohlke.

SENATOR BOHLKE: Mr. Clerk, I would withdraw that.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: It is withdrawn.

CLERK: Madam President, the next amendment I have is by Senator Pirsch. Senator, AM3942.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The Chair recognizes Senator Pirsch to open on her amendment.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Thank you, Madam President. I will be withdrawing these amendments, and I just wanted to alert you to the fact that Senator Schrock has been gracious enough to entertain these on his bill, and so they will be around again, and I hope that you will approve them at that time. With that, I will withdraw that amendment.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The amendment is withdrawn.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Thank you.

CLERK: Senator Schrock would move to amend. (See AM3870 found on page 1306 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The Chair recognizes Senator Schrock to open on his amendment.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Madam President, members of the Legislature, this amendment would delay implementation of the income tax provisions for one year. It is obvious that the train is headed down the track, and like a lot of the amendments that have been offered on LB 1050, we're probably looking at about 15 yes votes, but I wanted to make a few statements about what's taking place. I do believe that a lot of farmers in rural Nebraska, who do not live in equalization districts, voted for LB 1059 under the illusion that they would have property tax relief. I have some districts, if 1050 passes, that will receive less than 2 percent of their school budget as state aid. Loomis would be under 2 percent, Bertrand will be under 4 percent. There are