

down that we normally would have pumped, so that would allow more surface water to flow there. But I wanted to get back to the Kingsley Dam relicenses a little bit, because I really think this is setting up a mechanism in 108 that they're going to be able to ask the NRDs to cut out pumping so that they can have an instream flow. And that is scary to me because they've been working so long to try to get this relicensed. I think we're just getting the cart before the horse here on that. I'd like to ask Senator Bromm a question, if I wouldn't mind, if he'd answer. Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Senator Bromm, would you yield?

SENATOR BROMM: Yes.

SENATOR JONES: Yes. Would you look on page 45 of the amendments. Could I get you to look there just a second.

SENATOR BROMM: Sure.

SENATOR JONES: Would you have any problem in line 18, 19, and 20, to reinstate the old language and take out the new language there? I'll just let you look at it a minute and see what you say.

SENATOR BROMM: I, personally, would not have any problem with that, Senator Jones, at all. And I'm trying to remember back, this language came in there, I think, because some NRD wanted that language in there, one or more NRDs suggested that. I, personally, have no problem with reverting to the old language.

SENATOR JONES: Okay, well, thank you. I was thinking about maybe we could try to get that done before it got clear through Select, maybe. But another thing that I guess concerns me about the bill, and I think we should have something in it, if there is a shortage, I think the ground water irrigators and the surface water irrigators should share the shortage together. And I haven't figured out just how we can do that the way the bill was wrote. So I would be interested in working out something like that, so they'd share and share alike, if there is a shortage. So, again, thank you.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Senator Jones. Senator Fisher, followed by Senators Dierks, Coordsen, excuse me.